Chapter 1 - Picturing Distributions with Graphs

1.1 (a) The individuals are the car makes and models. **(b)** For each individual, the variables recorded are: vehicle class (categorical), transmission type (categorical), number of cylinders (usually treated as quantitative), city mpg (quantitative), highway mpg (quantitative), and annual fuel cost in dollars (quantitative).

1.2 Answers will vary. Some possible categorical variables: whether or not the student plays sports; sex; whether or not the student smokes; and attitude about exercise. Some possible quantitative variables: weight (kilograms or pounds), height (centimeters or inches); resting heart rate (beats per minute); and body mass index (kg/m² or lb/ft²).





(c) If you include an "Other" category, then a pie chart is appropriate. This survey asked about the site used most often, so each individual is only represented in one category, and the categories make up the whole.

1.4 (a) Individuals fall into more than one of the categories. **(b)** A bar graph is shown.



1.5 A pie chart can be made because the days are non-overlapping and make up the whole. Some births are scheduled (induced labor, for example) and, probably, most are scheduled for weekdays.



1.6 Make this histogram by hand, as the instructions suggest.



1.7 Use the applet to answer these questions.

1.8 The distribution is slightly right-skewed. The center is between 30% and 40% (23 states have less than 30% minority residents, and another 10 states have between 30% and 40%). The statewide percents range from about 0% to about 80%. No states have an unusually large or small percent of minority residents.

1.9 (a) There are two clear peaks in the distribution. If we gave only one center, it would most likely be between these and not be truly representative. **(b)** Young boys might spend a lot of time outdoors playing; their time outside in places where they would encounter ticks might well be less in younger adulthood. With families and yard work, their time outside might increase. **(c)** No, this is incorrect. Hiking in the woods at any age will make a person more likely to encounter the ticks that spread Lyme disease. **(d)** The histograms have the same shapes, but females have a slightly lower incidence rate until age 65, after which females have a slightly higher rate. Females under age 65, possibly, spend less time outdoors in areas where they would encounter ticks.

1.10 (a) A stemplot is provided. With a single stem, the distribution appeared unimodal. After splitting the stems, it appears bimodal.

```
0 889
1
  1
1 556789
2 022333333
2
  6778
3
  01114
3
  5799
  01112
4
4
  588
5
  1112234
5
6
  1
6
7
7
  77
  5
```

(b) The histogram with bins of width 5 will give the same pattern as the stemplot from part (a).



1.11 Here is a stemplot for health expenditure per capita (in PPP). Data are rounded to units of hundreds. For example, Argentina's 1725 becomes 17. Stems are thousands and are split, as prescribed. This distribution is right-skewed, with a single high outlier (United States). There seem to be two clusters of countries. The center of this distribution is around 20 (\$2000 spent per capita). The distribution varies from 0|2 (about \$200 spent per capita) to 9|1 (about \$9100 spent per capita).

0	223
0	67789
1	1114
1	56677
2	24
2	8
3	13
3	7
4	223
4	56889
5	
5	6
6	23
6	
7	
7	
8	
8	
9	1

1.12 (a) A time plot of average tuition and fees is given.



(b) Average tuition and fees have steadily climbed during the 35-year period, with sharpest absolute increases between 2009 and 2012. (c) Average tuition and fees have not decreased (as shown in this plot), but there have been periods of very small increases (1995–2000 and 2012–2013, for example). There are two periods of very rapid increases: 2000–2005 and 2008–2012. (d) It would be better to use percent increases rather than dollar increases. A 10% increase in average tuition

and fees in 1980 should correspond to a 10% increase in average tuition and fees in 2005, but the absolute dollar increases in these cases are very different.

1.13 (a) the students.

1.14 (c) a bar graph but not a pie chart. Individuals could belong to more than one category.

1.15 (b) Square footage and average monthly gas bill are both quantitative variables.

1.16 (b) categorical variable. Zip codes are equivalent to town (or zone) names or identifications, and you can't do arithmetic meaningfully with them.

1.17 (b) 88% to 92%.

1.18 (b) 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

1.19 (b) 76%. There are 50 observations, so the center would be between the 25^{th} and 26^{th} observations; both of these are 76%.

1.20 (a) skewed to the left.

1.21 (c) 34% enrolled. The stems are rounded to whole percents; you cannot make finer judgments.

1.22 (c) skewed to the right.

1.23 (a) Individuals are students who have finished medical school. **(b)** Five, in addition to "Name." "Age" (in years) and "USMLE" (in score points) are quantitative. The others are categorical.

1.24 The categorical variables are freezer type and Energy Star compliant (yes/no). The quantitative variables are annual energy consumption (kw), width (in), depth (in), height (in), freezer capacity (ft³), and refrigerator capacity (ft³). The individuals are the refrigerator makes and models.

1.25 "Other colors" should account for 2%. A bar graph would be an appropriate display. If you included the "Other" category, a pie chart could also be made.







(b) See the bar chart given.



(c) The plot in part (b) shows that the recent increase in tobacco usage is due to a drastic increase in the use of e-cigarettes. Usage of other forms of tobacco has decreased since 2011.

1.27 (a) A bar graph is given.



(b) Yes, we can construct a pie chart if we provide an "Other" category, where the total number of deaths in the "Other" category is 28486-11619-4878-4329-1496-1170-362=4632. The creation of an "Other" category is required for a pie chart so that the number of deaths in each subcategory sum to the total number of deaths. Without an "Other" category, we cannot construct a pie chart.

1.28 About 20% had debt between \$25,000 and \$49,999. Less than 5% had debt above \$150,000, but it is hard to tell from the plot.

1.29 (a) A bar graph is provided.



(b) For the 18–34 age group, the hours are roughly equal. For the older age groups, social apps are used more often than entertainment apps. (c) A pie chart is not appropriate because these data do not represent all parts of a whole.

1.30 This distribution is right-skewed, with the center around two servings and a variability of zero to eight servings. There are no outliers. About 12% (9 out of 74) consumed six or more servings, and about 35% (26 out of 74) ate fewer than two servings (zero or one serving).

1.31 (a) Ignoring the four lower outliers, the distribution is roughly symmetric, is centered at a score of 111, and has a range of 86 to 136. **(b)** 62 of the 78 scores are more than 100. This is 79.5%.

1.32 (a) The distribution is slightly left-skewed (some might call it almost symmetric). **(b)** The center is somewhere between 0% and 2.5%. **(c)** The smallest value is somewhere between -12.5% and -10%, and the largest value is between 12.5% and 15%. **(d)** There are about 140 negative returns, although your estimate could differ. This corresponds to about 38%.

1.33 (1.) "Are you female or male?" is Histogram (c). There are two outcomes possible, and the difference in frequencies is likely to be smaller than the right-handed/left-handed difference in part (2). (2.) "Are you right-handed or left-handed?" is Histogram (b), since there are more right-handed people than left-handed people, and the difference is likely larger than the sex difference in part (1). (3.) "What is your height in inches?" is Histogram (d). Height distribution is likely to be symmetric. (4.) "How many minutes do you study on a typical weeknight?" is Histogram (a). The variable takes on more than two values, and time spent studying may well be a right-skewed distribution, with most students spending less time studying, but some students studying a lot.

1.34 (a) A histogram is provided.



(b) This is an extremely right-skewed distribution. Ratios greater than 1 correspond to an oil with more omega-3 than omega-6. This accounts for 7 of the 30 oils, or 23.3%. Most food oils aren't this healthy. (c) Of the 7 healthier food oils, 5 come from types of fish. Furthermore, all of the fish oils in the list have ratios higher than 1. Clearly, fish oils provide a healthier ratio of omega-3 to omega-6 acids.

1.35 (a) States vary in population, so you would expect more nurses in California than in Wyoming, for example. Nurses per 100,000 provides a better measure of the number of nurses available to serve a state's population. **(b)** A stemplot is provided. Round the data to the nearest ten, and use the stems for hundreds and the leaves for tens. The distribution is slightly left-skewed, with a center around 900 and a range from 590 to 1480 nurses per 100,000. The observation with 1480 nurses is an outlier. This corresponds to Washington D.C.; many people live in states surrounding D.C. but commute to D.C. to health care.

(c) Splitting the stems would be useful, because it would better allow you to see the variability between the large number of states with between 800 and 1100 nurses per 100,000.

1.36 (a) Because the countries have varying populations, comparing them by deaths per 1000 children is easier than by total number of children. **(b)** The histogram is provided. The distribution is right-skewed, with a center around 20 deaths per 1000 children. The range is from just above 0 to 160. Angola may be considered an outlier, with a death rate of about 160.



1.37 The stemplot (after rounding to the nearest thousand) is shown. The shape of the distribution is roughly symmetric (it might be called left-skewed if we ignore the high outlier); with this scaling, 245 seems to be a high outlier. The center is about 171 (the 12th observation). The data range from about 91 to about 245.

Stem	Leaf			
24	6			
23				
22				
21				
20	1244			
19	2			
18	22			
17	022399			
16	68			
15	9			
14	6			
13				
12	3			
11	0			
10	3			
9	257			

1.38 (a) A negative value means that the virtual operation took longer after the four-week program than it took before the program. **(b)** The stemplot is provided.

```
Treatment
                Control
            -0
                8
      122
            -0
                13
            0
                23344
   888776
            0
                5567789999
    43332
            1
                1
            1
         9
                5
     4421
            2
                3
         8
            2
         3
            3
```

(c) The center for the treatment group is about 130 seconds; for the control group, the center is about 60 seconds. It appears that the treatment group had larger differences, so had greater improvement.

1.39 A time plot of fur seal pups. The decline in population is not seen in the stemplot made in Exercise 1.37.



1.40 (a) Rates are appropriate (rather than numbers of accidents) because the group sizes are different. If marijuana did not increase with the rate of accidents, then you would still have more accidents (by count) in the largest groups. **(b)** The rates were computed as accidents / (number of drivers) in each group; a bar graph is given. While we cannot conclude that marijuana use causes accidents, it is certainly associated with a greater accident rate. Perhaps the "risk taking" aspect mentioned might also be an explanation.



1.41 (a) A bar graph is provided. Three separate bar graphs could also have been produced.



(b) All countries spend much more time on either a desktop or a smartphone app. The U.S. uses smartphone apps more than desktops, which is not true for Canada and the U.K. (c) A pie chart can be constructed because within each country, the five categories listed cover all possible choices of access method, with the sum of the percents being 100. Comparing the distributions across country is easier with the side-by-side bar graph because the bars are grouped by access method.



1.42 (a) Here are stem and split-stem plots. In both cases, stems denote the tens place.

		Λ	a
4	9	-	5
5	36668889	5	3
5	50000009	5	6668889
6	1123556777889	G	1100
7	0.0	ю	1123
'	00	6	556777889
		7	00

(b) The center of this distribution is around 62 correct identifications; the data vary from 49 to 70. The distribution is somewhat left-skewed. There are no outliers.
(c) It would appear that a person's voice does help identify the taller person. If subjects were just guessing, we would expect the distribution to center about 50, but the center here is much higher. In fact, only one person correctly identified the taller person less than 50 times, and that was 49 correct.

1.43 (a) Graph (a) appears to show the greatest increase. Vertical scaling can impact the perception of the data. **(b)** In 2000, tuition was about \$5000, and it rose to about \$9500 in 2015; this is an increase of approximately \$4500. Both plots describe the same data.

1.44 (a) The time plots are provided. The patterns are similar, but the changes in unemployment rate are much less drastic for college graduates than for high school graduates.



(b) The financial crisis of 2008 is observed in the plot by a sharp increase in unemployment rates in 2008 and 2009. Since 2009, unemployment rates have steadily decreased, almost back to the levels seen before the financial crisis. (c) A slight increase in unemployment rates can be seen beginning in 2001.

1.45 (a) It seems as though winter quarters are typically associated with lower housing starts. **(b)** and **(c)** Over the long run housing starts have risen, except for

crisis years, which are shown by the sharp decrease between 2005 and 2008. **(d)** Since 2011, it appears that housing starts are again increasing from year to year.

1.46 Use the *One-Variable Statistical Calculator* applet on the text website to investigate this problem.